This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/649,510	08/25/2000	Mary Michelle Quinton	777.394US1	8272
23460 75	590 07/23/2004		EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			PHAN, RAYMOND NGAN	
180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780		, o	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2111	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



4	Application No.	Applicant/e)	_
• -	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1 /2
Office Action Commence	09/649,510	QUINTON, MARY MICHELLE	10
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond Phan	2111	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	26 April 2004.		
,— ·	This action is non-final.		ļ
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unc	owance except for formal ma		
Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Example and the specification is objected to be an example and the specification is objected to be an example and the specification is objected to be a specification in the specification is objected to be a specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be a specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be a specification in the	ndrawn from consideration. nd/or election requirement. miner. accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in a priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2111

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This action is responsive to the following communications: amendment filed on April 26, 2004.
- 2. This application has been examined. Claims 1-50 are pending. **Specification**
- 3. The title of the invention is accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1, 14, 32-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Mathis (US No 6,269,254).

In regard to claims 1, 14, 32, 35-39, Mathis discloses the method of plugging in a pluggable terminal comprising under direction of an application (see col. 17, line 4 through col. 18, line 33), wrapping the control method for a virtual (i.e. phone (see col. 13, lines 66-67) or non-virtual media (i.e. media file (col. 12, lines

Art Unit: 2111

62-67)) processing device control method to create a pluggable terminal (see col. 13, line 30 through col. 14, line 41) and making the pluggable terminal available to a TAPI application component (see col. 5, line 20 through col. 6, line 35).

In regard to claim 33, Mathis discloses the TSP component (i.e. JTAPI) for call control and for controlling communicating devices (see col. 12, lines 1-29); and MSP component (see col. 12, lines 62-67).

In regard to claim 34, Mathis discloses providing TAPI application component with a list of available terminals and for implementing terminals (see col. 13, line 20 through col. 14, line 41).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

7. Claims 2-13, 15-31, 40-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mathis in view of Porter (US No. 5,473,680).

In regard to claims 2, 13, 15-17, 30-32, Mathis discloses the claimed subject matter as discussed above except the teaching of creating the terminal object from the pluggable terminal upon initialization of the TAPI system; registering the pluggable terminal; discovering all available terminals, including the pluggable terminal to the TAPI application component. However Porter discloses the creating the terminal object from the pluggable terminal upon initialization of the TAPI system (see col. 2, lines 17-24); registering the pluggable terminal (see col. 9, lines 19-53);

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2111

discovering all available terminals, including the pluggable terminal (see col. 9, lines 19-53); and sending a list of available terminals, including the pluggable terminal to the TAPI application component (see col. 29, line 16 through col. 30, line 17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claims 3, 40, 50, Porter discloses the step of deriving the pluggable terminal from the terminal base class (see col. 5, line 53 through col. 6, line 14); providing a first interface for plugging into the TAPI system (see col. 7, lines 23-42); and providing a second interface including at least one media processing method for the TAPI application component (see col. 7, line 62 through col. 8, line 33). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claims 4, 21, 41, Porter discloses the at least one media processing method for processing media selected from the group consisting of audio, video, text, and graphics (see col. 7, lies 44-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

Art Unit: 2111

In regard to claims 5, 22, 42, Porter discloses the at least one media processing method for processing media selected from the group consisting of modem transmission, facsimile transmission and telephony transmission (see col. 7, lines 44-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claims 6, 23, 43, Porter discloses the at least one media processing method for processing media selected from the group consisting of videoconferencing, application sharing, document sharing, collaborative computing transmissions (see col. 7, lines 44-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claims 7, 24, 44, Porter discloses the at least one media processing method for processing media selected from the group consisting of chat transmission, visual chat transmissions, IP Telephony transmissions, and IM transmissions (see col. 8, lines 34-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

Art Unit: 2111

In regard to claims 8, 25, 45, Porter discloses the at least one media processing method for processing media selected from the group consisting of PSTN call, tone transmissions, speech transmissions, IP interactive voice response system transmissions, IP unified message system transmissions, and caller ID transmissions (see col. 8, lines 34-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claims 9, 26, 46, Porter discloses the at least one media processing method for processing media selected from the group consisting of music, movies, still pictures, and photographs (see col. 7, lines 44-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claims 10, 27, 47, Porter discloses the at least one media processing method for processing media selected from the group consisting of television transmissions, radio transmissions, cable transmission (see col. 8, lines 13-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claims 11, 28, 48, Porter discloses the at least one media processing method for processing media selected from the group consisting of

Art Unit: 2111

portable device communications, PDA, tablet transmissions (see col. 8, lines 28-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claims 12, 29, 49, Porter discloses the at least one media processing method for processing media selected from the group consisting of digital phone calls and cellular phone calls (see col. 8, lines 33-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claim 18, Mathis discloses the method of plugging in a pluggable terminal comprising wrapping the control method for a virtual (i.e. phone (see col. 13, lines 66-67)) or non-virtual media (i.e. media file (col. 12, lines 62-67)) processing device control method to create a pluggable terminal (see col. 13, line 30 through col. 14, line 41) and making the pluggable terminal available to a TAPI application component (see col. 5, line 20 through col. 6, line 35). But Mathis does not specifically disclose the unique ID for pluggable terminal; a set of media flow direction supported by the pluggable terminal and set of media types supported by the pluggable terminal. However Porter discloses the unique ID for pluggable terminal (see col. 8, lines 6-19); a set of media flow direction supported by the pluggable terminal (see col. 8, lines 12-26) and set of media types supported by the pluggable terminal (see col. 8, lines 20-26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 2111

made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claim 31, Porter discloses the method for triggering the events to the terminal manager component (see col. 15, lines 49-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

Response to Arguments

8. In view of remark filed on November 25, 1997, claims 5-10 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant(s) argue that ...Mathis fails to teach use of pluggable terminal type created under the direction of an application... (page 13). The Examiner does not agree. Mathis discloses the application which creates the pluggable terminal type (see col. 17, line 4 through col. 18, line 33).

Conclusion

- 9. All claims are rejected.
- 10. The prior arts made of record and not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Swartz (US No. 6,445,694) discloses an internet controlled telephone system.

Art Unit: 2111

Quinton et al. (US No. 6,754,313) disclose an application programming interface for computer telephone sets.

Gruia et al. (US No. 6,621,901) disclose an optimal dynamic agent state assignment.

Sato et al. (US No. 6,515,695) disclose a terminal and system for multimedia communications.

Szeto et al. (US No. 6,618,476) disclose a line information secure interface for TAPI service provider.

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Raymond Phan, whose telephone number is (703) 306-2756. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30AM- 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Primary, Paul Myers can be reached on (703) 305-9656 or via e-mail addressed to paul.myers@uspto.gov. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 746-7239.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [raymond.phan@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Raymond Phan

7/15/04

PAUL R. MYERS PRIMARY EXAMINER